Wright State University 202030 Spring courses taught in classroom

Course: 202030ME-1020-02: ME-1020 -2 Engg Prog with Matlab Instructor: Scott Thomas *

Response Rate: 3/23 (13.04 %)

1 - Describe the overall effectiveness of this instructor.		
Scott Thomas		
Response Rate	3/23 (13.04%)	
Very Effective		
• Professor Thomas was the best professor I've ever had at Wright State University. He was so upbeat and understanding and you could tell that he cared deeply about our education. I'm seeking or every other class he teaches because he made such a positive impression on me.		
Amazing professor. Extremely effective and responsive		

2 - Did the instructor evaluate your work based on the expectations described in the course syllabus?	
Scott Thomas	
Response Rate	3/23 (13.04%)
• Yes	
His expectations were explicitly stated and followed.	
• Yes. 100%	

3 - Did the instructor routinely start class on time and use the full class period?		
Scott Thomas		
3/23 (13.04%)		
• Yes		
He started exactly on time and used all of the time efficiently.		
• Yes		

4 - How did the instructor demonstrate interest in your learning?	
Scott Thomas	
Response Rate	3/23 (13.04%)
• Always available to answer questions	

Always available to answer questions

• He would walk around while we were working on problems and sit with us to talk through our confusion so we could come to the answer rather than just giving the answers to us. He left clear notes on our tests about why we lost points and how to do the coding correctly so we knew what to do next time. He talked to each person and learned everyone's names and was so sincere in everything he did.

· He was always asking questions to make sure we understood fully.

5 - How effectively did the instructor communicate both in and out of the classroom?		
Scott Thomas		
Response Rate	3/23 (13.04%)	
very effectively		
• He communicated very clearly with emails and when we were in the classroom he would keep us up to date on what was due and when.		

• He communicated very cleanly with emails and when we were in the classioon he would keep us up to date on what was due and wh

Very well. Extremely interested in making sure we understood content and was receptive when we needed to have more detail

6 - Were the course content and lectures well organized?		
Scott Thomas		
Response Rate	3/23 (13.04%)	
• yes		
The course was outrageously well organized. When we switched to online classes it was seamless, all of the information was already there and easy to get to.		
• Yes		

Wright State University 202030 Spring courses taught in classroom

Course: 202030ME-1020-02: ME-1020 -2 Engg Prog with Matlab Instructor: Scott Thomas *

Response Rate: 3/23 (13.04 %)

Scott Thomas Response Rate 3/23	
Response Rate 3/23	
	3 (13.04%)
• yes	
He made himself very available to us.	
Yes. And even outside office hours	

8 - Do you have any additional, relevant comments?	
Scott Thomas	
Response Rate	3/23 (13.04%)
 no If every professor was as wonderful as Scott Thomas, engineering would be significantly less daunting. He made me remember why I love engineering. He was such a positive person and he made 	

 If every professor was as wonderful as Scott Thomas, engineering would be significantly less daunting. He made me remember why I love engineering. He was such a positive person and he made each week brighter. His class was difficult but manageable because he made it so. I wish every professor cared as much as this man. I would recommend him to anyone who would listen to me. I can't wait to take more of his classes. He is the perfect example of what a professor should be.

• No, he's amazing.