

COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & COMPUTER SCIENCE COURSE SUMMARY

COURSE	ME 2120-90
TITLE	Statics
INSTRUCTOR	Scott Thomas
TERM	Fall 2012
NUMBER OF STUDENTS	17

GRADE DISTRIBUTION:

A	B	C	D	F	I	M	X	W	Drops
6		3	2	5			1	2	

TEACHING EVALUATIONS:

of Evaluations Scanned: 13

Q #1	Q #2	Q #3	Q #4	Q #5	Q #6	Q #7
4.69	4.69	4.77	4.69	4.62	4.92	4.31

Out of a possible 5.00 points

- 1. The instructor was available for consultation**
- 2. Student responsibilities for this course were well defined**
- 3. Class time was well spent**
- 4. I learned a lot from the instructor in this course**
- 5. Course materials contributed to my learning**
- 6. I was challenged in this course**
- 7. Coming into this course I was motivated to learn this subject**

STUDENT COMMENTS (FROM CLASS EVALUATION FORMS):

Question #1: Please comment on aspects of the instructor's teaching, such as clarity of explanations and examples, handling of questions, stimulation of thinking, and respect for individuals and their differences.

- "You were very good in lecture. I do wish that you would have worked out the math more instead of just going from algebraic letters to numbers"
- "The teacher was very good at going over in class examples. The grading was very fair as well as exams. A exam review session. The problems that involved variables confused me some. I like to more numeric answers rather than alphabetical"
- "Very informative, lots of examples to help clarify the subject. Would answer any questioned asked"
- "It was very clear, even when the prof. was at the other campus. He was very understanding of student faults"
- "Very clear, knowledgeable, and approachable"
- "Examples in class are great"

- "The instructor's teaching included effective explanations, examples that clarified material and thought provoking questions. The instructor respected the individual differences between students"
- "This instructor had great respect for all students and treated us all equal. He truly cares for all of his students"
- "Dr. Thomas was always responsive to questions, taking care to explain material or examples in detail. Knowledgeable, funny, and always professional & courteous"

Question #2: Did the instructor aid in your understanding of this subject? Please give specific examples consistent with your response.

- "Yes"
- "Yes, he had office hours before class and would stay after if you needed him too"
- "Yes. I never got the sheer and bending moment stuff before"
- "Yes, wrote big and neat, was easy to follow work on board"
- "Yes. The instructor's examples and explanations clarified course material"
- "This instructor aided my understanding of this subject by breaking down example problems step by step and emphasizing importances of each step"
- "Yes, great examples, great explanation, better than my first attempt at Statics by a wide margin"

Question #3: Please comment further on any of the items which you were asked about in this evaluation.

- "The homework load was very heavy"
- "Challenging class but the teacher helped very well"
- "I loved the class. I was tempted to take the next class even though it does not count for my major"
- "Had a great time in lecture. Class was interesting and instructor kept it challenging and fun entire semester. I was always excited coming to class. Thanks a lot!"
- "Distance learning screen needs better definition"
- "The instructor's humor kept the class interesting and prevented people from falling asleep"
- "Scott does a great job getting the wordy book (Beer & Johnston, boo!) into plain English"