

**Wright State University**  
**201830 Spring courses taught in classroom**

---

**Course:** 20399.201830: ME 4910 - 1 - Capstone Design I  
**Instructor:** Scott Thomas \*  
**Response Rate:** 11/38 (28.95 %)

---

**1 - Describe the overall effectiveness of this instructor.**

**Scott Thomas**

<b>Response Rate</b>	11/38 (28.95%)
----------------------	----------------

- Effective.
- Very helpful and taught this class to perfection. Allowed plenty of useful working time, while bringing in guest speakers who acted as useful resources for different aspects of the course, hence developing our design.
- Good
- Pretty good.
- Great layout to the class
- good
- Very effectiveness
- very effective
- Very good
- Dr. Thomas was a very effective instructor for this course.
- He did a good job.

**2 - Did the instructor evaluate your work based on the expectations described in the course syllabus?**

**Scott Thomas**

<b>Response Rate</b>	11/38 (28.95%)
----------------------	----------------

- Yes.
- Yes
- Yes
- He did not hardly give rubrics for specific portions, so it was a little bit hard to understand exactly what was expected for these portions of the report.
- yes, wish there was more description on what each section of the paper was requiring. I feel the points our team missed were due to not knowing what was required for each portion of the paper.
- yes
- Yes
- yes
- Yes
- Yes, Dr. Thomas evaluated my work based off the guidelines provided in the course syllabus.
- Somewhat, I'm not sure all the expectations were spelled out very clearly. Mostly with the notebooks.

**Wright State University**  
**201830 Spring courses taught in classroom**

**Course:** 20399.201830: ME 4910 - 1 - Capstone Design I  
**Instructor:** Scott Thomas \*  
**Response Rate:** 11/38 (28.95 %)

3 - Did the instructor routinely start class on time and use the full class period?	
Scott Thomas	
Response Rate	11/38 (28.95%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes.</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>• yes, I really like the time for working in groups during class time, it allowed us to get more things accomplished on time. please keep this for next semester.</li> <li>• yes</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>• yes</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>• Yes, every class period was started at 6:10P exactly and the full class period was used for work.</li> <li>• Yes.</li> </ul>	

4 - How did the instructor demonstrate interest in your learning?	
Scott Thomas	
Response Rate	9/38 (23.68%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes, really has made me enjoy finding joy in the different aspects of building up our project, starting from the research, effectively deciding on components for the design, and taking all other little things into account that a student might not think of.</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>• He was asking questions quite frequently about our project to figure out what we were doing.</li> <li>• when you did your rotations around the room to talk with teams, felt like you always started in the same spot and rarely got to our team.</li> <li>• yes</li> <li>• helps us and evaluated our work routinely</li> <li>• By caring about our projects. He learned our projects and routinely checked our papers to make sure we were heading in the right direction for our final submission</li> <li>• Dr. Thomas is very hands on with the projects of each group. He was our groups advisor specifically, but always walked around the other groups to give advice.</li> <li>• He would routinely come around the class to each group to talk to us about what we were working on and to see how we were progressing with or projects. He helped us to understand the approach to the process and would give us different concepts or ideas to think about when considering certain aspects of our designs.</li> </ul>	

**Wright State University**  
**201830 Spring courses taught in classroom**

**Course:** 20399.201830: ME 4910 - 1 - Capstone Design I  
**Instructor:** Scott Thomas \*  
**Response Rate:** 11/38 (28.95%)

5 - How effectively did the instructor communicate both in and out of the classroom?	
Scott Thomas	
Response Rate	11/38 (28.95%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Very effectively.</li> <li>• Very well.</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>• Good.</li> <li>• good, very organized</li> <li>• good</li> <li>• Very</li> <li>• very well</li> <li>• Very well</li> <li>• Scott is always available by email, and was very easy to talk to in class.</li> <li>• He was a very effective communicator both in and outside the classroom.</li> </ul>	

6 - Were the course content and lectures well organized?	
Scott Thomas	
Response Rate	11/38 (28.95%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Yes.</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>• Yes.</li> <li>• yes, very organized</li> <li>• yes</li> <li>• Yes</li> <li>• yes</li> <li>• N/A, when he did have lectures or speakers, yes</li> <li>• Yes. Expectations were clear and easy to complete.</li> <li>• Yes</li> </ul>	

**Wright State University**  
**201830 Spring courses taught in classroom**

---

**Course:** 20399.201830: ME 4910 - 1 - Capstone Design I  
**Instructor:** Scott Thomas \*  
**Response Rate:** 11/38 (28.95 %)

---

**7 - Was the instructor reasonably available and responsive to your needs during office hours and appointments, or on line?**

**Scott Thomas**

Response Rate	
	10/38 (26.32%)

- Yes.
- All the time!
- Yes
- yes
- yes
- Yes
- yes
- Yes
- Yes.
- Yes

**8 - Do you have any additional, relevant comments?**

**Scott Thomas**

Response Rate	
	7/38 (18.42%)

- No.
- Just thoroughly have enjoyed having Scott as the instructor for this course and cant wait to have him again for part two.
- No
- no
- loved it
- Great start to senior design
- Scott's model for teaching this calss helped us complete the requirements for the class in a timely manner and allowed us to explore and work on our projects at a steady pace. Other instructors should institute this model.